FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

In the Matter of the Claim of

U.S. DRUG CORPORATION

Claim No.CU - 0034

Decision No.CU

366

Under the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended

Counsel for claimant:

Wager & Shane by Eli Wager, Esq.

PROPOSED DECISION

This claim against the Government of Cuba, under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, was presented by U.S. DRUG CORPORATION in the amount of \$3,929.17 based upon the asserted loss of payment for merchandise shipped to Cuba.

Under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949

[78 Stat. 1110 (1964), 22 U.S.C. §§1643-1643k (1964), as amended, 79

Stat. 988 (1965)], the Commission is given jurisdiction over claims of nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba. Section 503(a) of the Act provides that the Commission shall receive and determine in accordance with applicable substantive law, including international law, the amount and validity of claims by nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba arising since January 1, 1959 for

losses resulting from the nationalization, expropriation, intervention or other taking of, or special measures directed against, property including any rights or interests therein owned wholly or partially, directly or indirectly at the time by nationals of the United States.

Section 502(3) of the Act provides:

The term property' means any property, right or interest including any leasehold interest, and debts owed by the Government of Cuba or by enterprises which

have been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba and debts which are a charge on property which has been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba.

States" as "(B) a corporation or other legal entity which is organized under the laws of the United States, or of any State, the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, if natural persons who are citizens of the United States own, directly or indirectly, 50 per centum or more of the outstanding capital stock or other beneficial interest of such corporation or entity."

An officer of the claimant corporation has certified that the claimant was organized in New York and that all times between 1919 and presentation of this claim on June 14, 1965, all of the outstanding capital stock of the claimant has been owned by Abraham H. Kramer and Horace Z. Kramer both of whom have been United States nationals since their births on February 19, 1891 and May 22, 1918 respectively.

The record contains copy of claimant's invoice No. CO-1475X of October 11, 1960 reflecting the sale to The May Trading Co. S.A. of Havana, Cuba, of goods totalling \$1,265.00. Claimant states that it has not received the funds.

Additionally, the record contains a letter of September 30, 1960 from the Banco Franco Cubano S.A. in which it is stated that the collection of \$2,664.17 was paid by the consignee Henri Le Bienvent and that the bank was awaiting a dollar reimbursement release from the Exchange Control Authorities. Claimant states that it has not received the funds.

The Government of Cuba, on September 29, 1959, published its Law
568, concerning foreign exchange. Thereafter the Cuban Government effectively precluded not only transfers of funds to creditors abroad, but
also payment to creditors within Cuba, by numerous, unreasonable and costly
demands upon the consignees, who were thus deterred from complying with
the demands of the Cuban Government. The Commission holds that Cuban Law
568 and the Cuban Government's implementation thereof, with respect to the
CU-0034

rights of the claimant herein, was not in reality a legitimate exercise of sovereign authority to regulate foreign exchange, but constituted an intervention by the Government of Cuba into the contractual rights of the claimant, which resulted in the taking of American-owned property within the meaning of Section 503(a) of the Act. (See the Claim of the Schwarzen-bach Huber Company, FCSC Claim No. CU-0019; and the Claim of Etna Pozzolana Corporation, FCSC Claim No. CU-0049).

Accordingly, in the instant claim the Commission finds that claimant's property was lost as a result of intervention by the Government of Cuba and that, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the loss occurred on October 16, 1960 as to \$1,265.00, five days after the goods were shipped and on October 1, 1960 as \$2,664.17, the day after this collection was acknowledged by the Cuban bank.

The Commission has decided that in payment of losses on claims determined pursuant to Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, interest should be allowed at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of loss to the date of settlement (See the Claim of Lisle Corporation, FCSC Claim No. CU-0644).

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the amount of the loss sustained by claimant shall be increased by interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from the dates on which the loss occurred, to the date on which provisions are made for the settlement thereof.

CERTIFICATION OF LOSS

The Commission certifies that U.S. DRUG CORPORATION suffered a loss, as a result of actions of the Government of Cuba, within the scope of Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, in the amount of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty Nine Dollars and Seventeen

Cents (\$3,929.17) with interest thereon at 6% per annum from the respective dates of loss to the date of settlement.

Dated at Washington, D. C. and entered as the Proposed Decision of the Commission

OCT 4 1967

Idward A. O'

Lavin R. Dilwy

LaVern R. Dilweg, Commissioner

NOTICE: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, if no objections are filed within 15 days after service or receipt of notice of this Proposed Decision, the decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after such service or receipt of notice, unless the Commission otherwise orders. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. 531.5(e) and (g) as amended, 32 Fed. Reg. 412-13 (1967).)